

Application Serial No.: 10/791,423
Amdt. dated March 27, 2007
Reply to Non-Final Office Action of December 29, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action dated December 29, 2006 and the references cited therein have been carefully considered. In response to the Office Action, Applicants have amended the Abstract and Specification. Applicants have further amended Claim 1 and canceled Claim 3, which, when considered with the remarks set forth below, are deemed to place the case with Claims 1-2 in condition for allowance.

Priority

In the Office Action, acknowledgement has been made of Applicants' claim of priority, but the Examiner notes that a certified copy of the priority application has not yet been filed. Applicants are in the process of obtaining a certified copy of the priority Korean application and will file it shortly.

Abstract

Also in the Office Action, the Abstract has been objected to because of the Abstract is over 150 words. In response, Applicants have shortened the Abstract to fewer than 150 words. Accordingly, it is believed that the Abstract objection has been overcome.

Specification Objection

The disclosure has also been objected to because of a typographical error on page 11, line 22. In response, Applicants have amended the paragraph on page 11 beginning at line 22 to substitute the word --mesh-- for the word "mash." Accordingly, it is believed that the specification objections have been overcome.

Application Serial No.: 10/791,423
Amdt. dated March 27, 2007
Reply to Non-Final Office Action of December 29, 2006

Claim Rejections

Further in the Office Action, Claim 3 has been deemed allowable if rewritten in independent form, but Claims 1 and 2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,987,710 to Noji, et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,663,788 to Pospisal. In response, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to add the limitations of allowable Claim 3 and canceled Claim 3. Accordingly, it is believed that the application with Claims 1 and 2 is now in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, favorable consideration and allowance of the application with Claims 1-2 are respectfully solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would assist in moving the application toward allowance, he is respectfully invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven T. Zuschlag
Registration No.: 43,309
Attorney for Applicants

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, New York 11791
(516) 822-3550
STZ/mf
234600_1